Conflict of interest

(1a) Model policy - WRRGs

This model policy is for use by boards of waste and resource recovery groups. It is adapted from the standard model policy for major agencies in the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) portfolio. Prior to using this model policy, please read the guidance note About the model policy.

All model policies and guidance notes can be downloaded from the Conflict of Interest support module on DELWP’s governance website, On Board (www.delwp.vic.gov.au/onboard).

................................................................................................

[insert name of WRRG]

Conflict of Interest – board policy

1. Scope

This policy sets out the board’s procedures for the declaration and management of conflicts of interest.

2. Key principles

Waste and resource recovery groups (WRRGs) and Local Government Waste Forums are an important partnership between local government and the State government, as detailed in the Environment Protection Act 1970 (EP Act). WRRGs are public entities and are subject to the Public Administration Act 2004 (PAA). Under Part 5 of the PAA:

- The primary duty of each WRRG director is to act in the best interests of the WRRG (s 79). This requirement applies to all directors, including directors nominated by the Local Government Forum under s 49B of the EP Act.
- All directors must act and make decisions consistent with the WRRG’s objectives and functions (s 81). Whilst an important function is to support the Local Government Waste Forum, the board is accountable to the Minister for Environment, Climate Change and Water for the exercise of all the WRRG’s functions (s 85). The overall best interests of the WRRG must be paramount for each director, however appointed.1

................................................................................................

1 The objectives and functions of the WRRG are set out in s 49G (objectives) and s 49H (functions) of the EP Act.
In accordance with s 81 of the PAA, the key principles upon which this policy is based are:

a) **Obligations and good practice**: the board acts in accordance with its obligations and with good governance practice (for details, see item 13 of this policy).

b) **Public interest**: Conflicts of interest are avoided where possible. Where a conflict exists, it is declared and managed in the public interest.

c) **Real, potential or perceived**: a conflict of interest exists whether it is real, potential, or perceived.

d) **Transparent and accountable**: the process for declaring and managing conflicts of interest is transparent, accountable, and consistent with the [Directors’ Code of Conduct](#).

e) **Culture of integrity**: the board fosters a culture of integrity. Directors are supported to raise their own conflicts of interest and to speak up if they believe that another director may have an undeclared conflict.

### 3. Definitions

#### Conflict of interest

A conflict of interest is a conflict between a director’s:

- **public duty** to act in the best interests of the WRRG; and
- their **private interests**.

#### Conflict of duty

A conflict of duty (also known as a conflict of role) is a type of conflict of interest that can occur even if a director does not have any private interest at stake. It is a conflict between a director’s:

- **public duty** to act in the best interests of the WRRG; and
- their duty to **another public sector or private organisation**. It exists due to the director’s role with the other organisation (e.g. as a committee member, employee, volunteer, or organisation member).

#### How broad is the definition?

The following applies to all conflicts of interest, including conflicts of duty:

**Real, potential or perceived**

A conflict of interest exists whether it is:

- **real** – it currently exists;
- **potential** – it may arise, given the circumstances; or
- **perceived** – members of the public could reasonably form the view that a conflict exists, or could arise, that may improperly influence the director’s performance of his/her duty to the WRRG, now or in the future.

**Direct or indirect**

A private interest can be direct or indirect. A direct interest is held by the director. An indirect interest is held by a relative or close associate of the director, for example:

- an immediate family member (e.g. spouse, partner, child, parent, sibling);
- a regular household member (i.e. someone who normally resides with the director); or
- another close associate (e.g. friend, relative, business associate, rival, enemy).
Pecuniary or non-pecuniary

A private interest can be pecuniary (financial) or non-pecuniary (non-financial), or a mixture of both. It can arise from a wide range of personal or professional/business-related sources.

**Pecuniary interests** - include actual, potential, or perceived financial gain or loss. Money does not need to change hands. The interest exists if the director (or a relative or close associate):

- owns property;
- holds shares, investments or other business interests;
- has a position in a company bidding for government work;
- receives benefits such as concessions, discounts, gifts or hospitality from a particular source;
- holds office in a corporation (public, private or trustee), incorporated association, or other entity; or
- has any other relevant financial interest, for example:
  - is entitled to receive income derived from a contract;
  - is a beneficiary or trustee of a trust; or
  - is entitled to receive income from an office held for payment/reward or from a trade, vocation, or profession.

**Example:** John is a director of a WRRG. His wife owns a consulting firm that provides advisory services to the waste industry. If the consulting firm owned by John’s wife tenders for a contract that the WRRG advertises, John will have a conflict of interest in relation to the decision about which firm wins the tender.

**Non-pecuniary interests** - may arise from personal or family relationships or from involvement in sporting, social, or cultural activities, etc. They include a tendency towards favour or prejudice resulting from friendship, animosity, or other personal involvement with another person or group. If personal values are likely to impact on the proper performance of public duty, this can also lead to a conflict of interest. Enmity as well as friendship can give rise to a conflict of interest.

**Example:** A ‘skills-based’ director regularly plays golf with his good friend Joe. Joe’s company is responding to a tender released by the WRRG. As a result of this friendship, the skills-based director would have a conflict of interest, even though there is no potential financial benefit to the director.

4. **Annual Declaration of Private Interests**

Upon appointment to the board, each director will make a *Declaration of Private Interests* using the form specified in Appendix 1. Declarations will be lodged with the chair, who will ensure that:

- the information provided in relation to a director’s interests is recorded in the *Register of Interests*; and
- all information provided is stored and used in accordance with the *Privacy and Data Protection Act 2014* and the *Public Records Act 1973*.

Directors will update their declaration annually. If a director’s circumstance change in the meantime, he or she will lodge an updated declaration (unless the change is already adequately recorded in the register as a result of a declaration made at a board meeting – see ‘5’ below).
5. Register of Interests

A Register of Interests will be maintained which includes details of both the direct and indirect interests of each director that may give rise to a conflict of interest. The Register of Interests template is set out in Appendix 2.

Confirmation of register at start of board meeting

The chair will ensure that the Register of Interests is present for reference at each board meeting. At the start of the meeting, the chair will ask for all directors to state whether their interests as recorded in the register are complete and correct. If there are no changes, the minutes will note that ‘all directors present confirmed that their entries in the Register of Interests are complete and correct’. If any changes are declared, these will be recorded in the minutes for entry into the register.

Updating the register

The chair will ensure that the Register of Interests is current and includes:

- all interests declared in Declaration of Private Interests forms lodged by directors; and
- any additional interests which have been declared and recorded in the minutes of a board meeting.

6. Declaring conflicts of interest at the start of a meeting

In addition to checking whether the Register of Interests is complete and correct (see above), at the start of each board meeting the chair will ask if any director present has an interest (i.e. a private interest or a duty to another organisation) in respect to any item on the agenda. If a director has an interest he or she will declare it, including the nature of the interest and the conflict that results, or may result, from it. An interest must be declared even if it is already recorded in the Register.

A director who becomes aware during the meeting that he/she has an undeclared interest will declare it immediately.

A director who believes that another director may have an undeclared interest will raise this as a query, so as to enable the other director to declare the interest, if it exists.

7. Options for managing a conflict of interest

The board will manage all conflicts of interest in the public interest. Depending on the conflict, options include:

1. Remove – the director leaves the room and does not participate at all in the ‘conflicted’ matter.
2. Record – details of the conflict of interest are recorded in the minutes. Monitoring occurs to check whether this remains the appropriate option.
3. Restrict – the director’s involvement in discussion and/or decision-making on the matter is restricted to the extent that matches the public interest. Monitoring occurs to check whether this remains the appropriate option.
4. Recruit – an impartial third party is engaged to provide advice (e.g. a probity adviser, lawyer, or governance expert from the department or the Victorian Public Sector Commission).
5. Relinquish or resign – the director relinquishes their private interest or steps down from their role with the other organisation on a temporary or permanent basis. Alternatively, the director resigns from the board itself.

The process for determining which option is in the public interest is set out in items 8 to 10.

2 Like other documents produced by the board (e.g. minutes of board meetings), the register is a public record. However, this does not mean that it is automatically ‘open to the public’. Unless the board agrees, a member of the public who wants to see the register would need to lodge an application under the Freedom of Information Act. If this occurs, the department can provide advice and assist the WRRG to assess whether the register is exempt from disclosure under the Act.
8. Is the conflict material?

When deciding how to manage a conflict of interest, the board will first determine whether the conflict is material (serious). In doing so, the board will take into account all the relevant factors and circumstances, including:

- the objectives and functions of the WRRG;
- the matter that is to be discussed and determined by the board;
- the nature of the conflict (e.g. is it real, potential, or perceived?);
- the severity of the conflict, including:
  - the amount, scope, and likelihood of any expected benefit (e.g. is it a large benefit primarily to the director or a small benefit that thousands of people including the director will receive?); and
  - any other relevant circumstances (e.g. if the conflict relates to a director’s partner working at an organisation about which the board is to make a decision: is the partner currently working there as the CEO? Or did they leave a few years ago from a large organisation in which their work was not connected to the decision being made by the board?);
- the potential effect of the conflict, including:
  - the extent to which the director’s ability to make an impartial decision in the public interest could be compromised, or could reasonably be seen to be compromised; and
  - the overall likelihood that the conflict of interest may affect public confidence in the integrity of the board and its decisions.

It is important to note that section 49N of the Environment Protection Act imposes strict legal requirements on how ‘pecuniary’, ‘property’, and ‘office holder’ interests must be managed. For the purposes of this policy, such conflicts are treated as material (see items 9.1 to 9.3).

9. Managing material conflicts of interest

The board will manage material conflicts of interest as follows:

9.1 Pecuniary interests

Under section 49N(1) and (4) of the Environment Protection Act, if a director ‘has a direct or an indirect pecuniary interest in any matter being considered or about to be considered’ the board must remove the director from any ‘discussion of, or vote on’ the matter.

Exceptions

Section 49N(6) states that a director does not have a pecuniary interest to which section 49N applies if the interest is:
(a) ‘in a matter relating to the supply of goods or services to or by the director if the goods or services are, or are to be, available to members of the public on the same terms and conditions’

Example: A director who has a contract with the WRRG to provide recycled office paper at $5 per pack and who also sells the same packs to the public at the same price.

---

3 Consistent with the requirements of s 81(1)(f) of the Public Administration Act 2004.
4 If a director votes in contravention of the requirements of section 49N, their vote must be disallowed - section 49(N)(5).
(b) ‘in a contract or arrangement only because that contract or arrangement may benefit a company or other body in which the director has a beneficial interest that does not exceed one percent of the total nominal value of beneficial interests in that company or body.’

Example: The WRRG is considering entering into a contract with a company that has a total share value of over $1 million. A director who owns $8,000 worth of shares in that company will not be regarded as having a ‘pecuniary interest’ because he/she owns less than 1% of the shares in the company.

9.2 Property interests
Under section 49N(2) and (4) of the EP Act, if a director holds property “as a result of which, directly or indirectly, duties or interests may be created in conflict with his or her duties as a director” the board must remove the director from any discussion of, or vote on, the matter.

9.3 Office holder interests
Under section 49N(2) and (4) of the EP Act, if a director ‘holds an office ... as a result of which, directly or indirectly, duties or interests may be created in conflict with his or her duties as a director’ the board must remove the director from any discussion of, or vote on, the matter.

Holding an office or other role (e.g. as a councillor or employee of a local council or as a director or employee of a private business) does not automatically create a conflict of duty. Whether a conflict exists depends on the particular matter to be considered by the board.

However, it is important to public confidence in the WRRG that the requirements of section 49N are adhered to. The board will err on the side of caution when determining whether ‘duties or interests may be created in conflict with’ a director’s duties to the WRRG.

Example: A WRRG director is a councillor or employee of a council. If the board is considering a grant decision that could benefit their council more than some others in the region, a conflict of interest arises for the director, as it might be perceived that they are acting in the interests of the council and not the WRRG.

9.4 Managing other material conflicts
For all other material conflicts of interest, the board’s standard procedure for managing the conflict is to remove the director from all participation in the matter. The director will:

- leave the room at the start of the relevant agenda item and not return until the start of the next agenda item;
- not discuss the matter at all with any other director (either in the meeting or elsewhere); and
- not participate in any board decision on the matter.

The standard procedure will be followed unless the board determines and records in the minutes clear reasons why it is not in the public interest.

Stronger option for managing a material conflict
If the public could reasonably form the view that a material conflict is of unacceptable frequency and/or duration then to maintain public confidence and protect the reputation of the WRRG it may be necessary, in the public interest, for the director to:

- relinquish their private interest;
- resign or stand down on a temporary basis from the other organisation to which he/she has a duty; or
- resign from the board.

If the board is unsure whether a stronger option is in the public interest, the department will be contacted for advice.
Lesser options for managing a material conflict

A lesser option (i.e. record, restrict or recruit) will not usually be in the public interest for managing a material conflict of interest. If the board is unsure whether a lesser option is in the public interest it will err on the side of caution.

If the board decides on a lesser option then, in addition to minuting why it is in the public interest, careful monitoring will occur to ensure that the lesser option remains appropriate.

Examples

Examples of where a lesser option may be in the public interest, taking into account all the relevant circumstances, are:

- **Discussion**: If the director with the conflict of interest was appointed on the basis of their knowledge of the matter, it may be in the public interest for them to be present for part of the board’s discussions. (However, if an ‘unconflicted’ director is absent who also has this expertise, it will usually be in the public interest for discussion to occur at the next meeting instead.)

- **Decision**: If the recommended procedure of ‘remove’ would mean that there is no quorum for the decision even if all ‘unconflicted’ directors are present, then a lesser option will be in the public interest. \(^5\) (However, if ‘unconflicted’ director(s) are absent then it will usually be in the public interest for the decision to be held over to the next board meeting instead.)

10. Managing non-material conflicts of interest

For a non-material conflict of interest, there is more likelihood that a lesser option (i.e. record, restrict or recruit) will be in the public interest. In making its decision, the board will take into account all relevant factors and circumstances - e.g. the lower the severity of the conflict, the more likely that a lesser option will be in the public interest.

If the board decides on a lesser option then, in addition to minuting why it is in the public interest, careful monitoring will occur to ensure that it remains appropriate - e.g. the board may initially decide to only ‘record’ the conflict but after monitoring may decide instead to ‘restrict’ the director by allowing them to participate in some aspects of the discussion but removing them from others and from all decision-making on the issue.

If the board is unsure whether a lesser option is in the public interest then it will err on the side of caution and decide on the standard procedure of remove (i.e. the director leaves the room and does not participate in any discussion or decision-making on the matter).

11. Recording in minutes

If a director declares a conflict of interest, the following information will be recorded in the minutes:

- a description of the interest and the conflict (the dollar value of a financial interest does not need to be included);
- whether the conflict is material;
- the action the board will take to manage the conflict in the public interest;
- if a lesser option or stronger option is to be taken, why it is in the public interest;
- if the director leaves the room during discussion and/or decision-making on the ‘conflicted’ matter, the time that he/she leaves and returns and the item, or part of thereof, for which he or she was absent;
- as required by section 49N(3) of the Environment Protection Act, “full details of who voted” on any matter in respect of which the disclosure of a conflict of interest was made.

\(^5\) If this is a common occurrence, the department should be notified.
12. Breach of this policy

A director who may have breached this policy must notify the chair immediately:

- If the chair is of the view that a breach has not occurred, the board will determine at the next scheduled board meeting, and record in the minutes, whether a breach has occurred.
- If the chair is of the view that a breach has occurred, he/she will arrange for the board to determine on an urgent basis, and record in the minutes, whether a breach has occurred.
- If a breach has occurred, the chair will notify the Minister and the Secretary of DELWP in writing as soon as practicable, including whether the breach relates to a material conflict of interest.\(^6\)

A director who believes that another director may have breached this policy but not yet notified the chair will bring this to the attention of the chair or will approach the other director, who will then notify the chair.\(^7\)

13. Obligations and good practice

The board will act in accordance with its obligations and with good public sector governance practice, including:

- the EP Act (the establishing Act);
- the public sector values in section 7 of the PAA;\(^8\)
- the ‘duties of directors’ in section 79 of the PAA;
- the requirement in section 81(1)(f) of the PAA that certain processes be in place for dealing with conflicts of interest;
- the Directors’ Code of Conduct issued by the Victorian Public Sector Commission (VPSC);
- government policy;
- all applicable ministerial directions, guidelines, and statements of expectation and/or obligation;\(^9\) and
- all other laws and obligations that bind the WRRG.

14. Regular review of this policy

The board will review this policy on an annual basis or more frequently, if required, to keep up-to-date with changes to laws, government policy, etc. This policy was last reviewed on [insert].

15. Related policies

- Gifts, Benefits and Hospitality
- Meetings and Decision-making
- Code of Conduct.

---

\(^6\) Consistent with s 81(1)(b) and (f) of the Public Administration Act 2004.

\(^7\) Or if the matter concerns a possible breach by the chair, to the attention of the deputy chair (or other director nominated by the board to assist the chair with conflict of interest queries).

\(^8\) The public sector values are: integrity, impartiality, accountability, respect, responsiveness, human rights, and leadership.

\(^9\) For example, any written directions issued by the minister pursuant to s 49S or the EP Act.
16. Further information

On Board (www.delwp.vic.gov.au/onboard), in particular, the Conflict of Interest support module, which has a range of resources, including guidance notes, direct links to this topic on the VPSC website, etc.

Appendix 1: Declaration of Private Interests (DOPI) form

The board will ensure that its Declaration of Private Interests form has the same content as the template published in the Conflict of Interest support module on DELWP’s governance website, On Board (www.delwp.vic.gov.au/onboard). A copy of the board’s DOPI form is attached.

Appendix 2: Register of Interests template

[This template is based on the recommendations of the Victorian Public Sector Commission. It can be adjusted as required.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of director</th>
<th>Description of interest</th>
<th>Interested parties</th>
<th>Is the interest current?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[e.g. John Smith]</td>
<td>[e.g. sister-in-law co-owns a company which could potentially become a contractor to this WRRG.]</td>
<td>[e.g. sister in law]</td>
<td>[e.g. Yes]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[e.g. Lorna James]</td>
<td>[e.g. Owner of eco-lodge business]</td>
<td>[e.g. director]</td>
<td>[e.g. Yes]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>